



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/756,792	01/10/2001	Seiji Umemoto	Q62563	6553
75	590 03/12/2003			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK, & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
	2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037		AMARI, ALES	SSANDRO V
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2872	

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,			
	Application No.	pplicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/756,792	OMEMOTO ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alessandro V. Amari	2872			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspond nce addr	ss		
THE REPLY FILED 24 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appet Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli I) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper replication in the case of the case of the case of the capplication in the capplication i	ly to a ation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in to an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se	ee MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dainave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of th I statutory period for reply originally set ir	e fee. The appropriate extent the final Office action; or (nsion fee under 2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		-			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	amendment		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		nsidered but does NO	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which wer	e newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 6-8 and 21-23.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,9-20 and 24-29</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∏ approved or b)∏ disa	oproved by the Exam	iner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. Other:					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims as finally rejected do not distinguish the claimed optical path changing polarizer from the prior art cited.

MULLINGON MARK A ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER